



SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessment of genetic diversity and population structure in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] local collections and prominent varieties using SSR markers

Ragini Bhardwaj[§], Gayacharan^{*}, Amit Kumar Singh and Suphiya Khan¹

Abstract

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) is an important legume crop in South and Southeast Asia. Assessment of genetic diversity is vital for species diversity conservation, and crop improvement programs. The present study assessed the genetic diversity of 87 germplasm collections, including 12 released varieties using SSR markers. Of the 35 markers screened, 19 were informative, generating 35 alleles with an average of 4.11 alleles per locus. PIC values ranged from 0.24 to 0.75 and expected heterozygosity averaged 0.47 for local collections and 0.41 for released varieties. Genetic variation was primarily within populations (91.3%), with low population divergence ($F_{st} = 0.12$). Local collections were more genetically diverse, offering valuable resources for future breeding programs.

Keywords: Greengram, genetic structure, genetic diversity, narrow genetic base.

Mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) which is popularly known as greengram, is a grain legume crop of the Fabaceae family. India contributes 60% of global production from 3.8 mha (Somta et al. 2022, Nair and Schreinemachers 2020). Its short life cycle and adaptability to diverse agroecosystems make it valuable for sustainable agriculture. Mungbeans is also crucial for nutritional security, providing high-quality protein, vitamins, and essential minerals (Saini et al. 2010). However, its stagnant productivity due to limited genetic diversity utilization poses challenges, making the crop vulnerable to biotic and abiotic stresses (Gayacharan et al. 2023; Bhardwaj et al. 2023). Despite the availability of over 43,000 conserved germplasm collections globally, many remain underutilized (Gayacharan et al. 2020a, 2020b). Identifying genetic divergence through molecular analysis is essential for advancing breeding programs and understanding genetic connections. Therefore, this study aims to assess the genetic diversity and population structure of local mungbean collections compared to popular released varieties using SSR markers. The findings are expected to find effective conservation strategies and breeding programs, contributing to the crop's improvement and sustainable cultivation in diverse agroecosystems.

A total of 87 diverse mungbean genotypes, including local collections and released varieties obtained from the National Genebank NBPGR, New Delhi were selected for this study. Genotypes represented the major mungbean-

growing regions of India. The experiment was conducted at the NBPGR experimental farm. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy kit, and quantified with NanoPhotometer[®]. Out of 35 SSR primer pairs, 19 were polymorphic and selected for diversity analysis. PCR amplification was performed, and DNA fragments were resolved in 4% agarose gel. The polymorphism information content (PIC) for each primer was calculated to assess marker usefulness (Anderson et al. 1993). The dendrogram and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was performed using MEGA (Zargar et al. 2016) to visualize genotype diversity. Population structure analysis

Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith University, Tonk 304 022 Rajasthan, India.

[§]Present address and ¹ICAR-National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi 110 012, India.

***Corresponding Author:** Gayacharan, ICAR-National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi 110 012, India, E-Mail: gayacharan@icar.gov.in

How to cite this article: Bhardwaj R., Gayacharan, Singh A.K. and Khan S. 2025. Assessment of genetic diversity and population structure in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] local collections and prominent varieties using SSR markers. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed., **85**(1): 165-167.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None.

Received: Aug. 2024 **Revised:** Jan. 2025 **Accepted:** Feb. 2025

Table 2. AMOVA for two mungbean populations

Source of variation	df	Variance	%Variation	p-value
Among populations	1	0.27	4.83	0.001
Within populations	85	5.18	91.30	0.001
Within individual	87	0.22	3.85	0.001
Total	173	5.67		
F-Statistics	Fst	F'st	Nm	
	0.05	0.11	4.92	

**Fig. 2.** PCoA of the mungbean accessions using SSR primer

genetic variation (91.3%) was within populations, with only 4.83% attributed to differences between populations and 3.85% within individuals. The low F_{st} value (0.048) further supported the finding of minimal genetic differentiation between populations (Table 2).

The study underscores the need to broaden the genetic base of the mungbean crop and the usefulness of the SSR markers in assessing genetic diversity. The identification of genetically diverse accessions may find their direct use in the mungbean improvement program. Local collections demonstrated higher genetic diversity, as reflected by higher allele counts, Shannon's information index (I), and private alleles compared to release varieties.

The findings suggest that conservation and breeding strategies should focus on maintaining genetic variability and enhancing gene flow within mungbean populations. The observed genetic diversity, despite the self-pollinating nature of mungbean, indicates that targeted breeding efforts can leverage this variability for improved crop

varieties.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization of research (G); Designing of the experiments (G, RB, SK); Contribution of experimental materials (G, AKS, RB); Execution of field/lab experiments and data collection (G, RB); Analysis of data and interpretation (G, RB); Preparation of the manuscript (G, RB).

References

- Anderson A., Churchill G. A., Autrique J. E., Tanksley S. D. and Sorrells M. E. 1993. Optimizing parental selection for genetic linkage maps. *Genome*, **36**: 181-186. doi:10.1139/g93-024
- Evanno G., Regnaut S., and Goudet J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.*, **14**: 2611-2620. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
- Gayacharan, Archak S., Gupta K., Gupta V., Tyagi V. and Singh K. 2020a. Mungbean genetic resources and utilization. *The Mungbean Genome. Compendium of Plant Genomes Springer Cham* 9–25. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20008-4_2
- Gayacharan, Tripathi K., Meena S. K., Panwar B. S., Lal H., Rana J. C. and Singh K. 2020b. Understanding genetic variability in the mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) gene pool. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **177**: 346-357. doi:10.1111/aab.12624
- Gayacharan, Parida, S. K., Mondal, N., Yadav, R., Vishwakarma, H. and Rana, J. C. 2023. Mining legume germplasm for genetic gains: An Indian perspective. *Front. Genet.* **14**: 996828.
- Nair R., and Schreinemachers P. 2020. Global status and economic importance of mungbean. *Compendium of Plant Genomes Springer Cham.*, 1-8. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20008-4_1
- Peakall R. O. D. and Smouse P. E. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes.*, **6**: 288-295. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x
- Pritchard J. K., Stephens M. and Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**(2): 945-959. doi:10.1093/genetics/155.2.945
- Bhardwaj R., Lone J. K., Pandey R., et al. 2023. Insights into morphological and physio-biochemical adaptive responses in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under heat stress. *Front. Genet.*, **14**: 1206451.
- Saini M., Singh S., Hussain Z. and Yadav A. 2010. RAPD analysis in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]: I. Assessment of genetic diversity. *Indian J. Biotechnol.*, 137-146.
- Somta P., Laosatit K., Yuan X. and Chen X. 2022. Thirty years of mungbean genome research: where do we stand and what have we learned?. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **13**: 944721. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.944721
- Zargar S. M., Farhat S., Mahajan R., Bhakhri A. and Sharma A. 2016. Unraveling the efficiency of RAPD and SSR markers in diversity analysis and population structure estimation in common bean. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.*, **23**: 139-149. doi:10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.11.011