

EFFECT OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON VARIATION IN SEGREGATING GENERATIONS OF F₂ SEEDS OF RICE

P. C. KATOCH, J. E. MASSAR AND P. PLAHA

*Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya
Rice Research Station, Malan 176047*

(Received: October 28, 1991; accepted: November 13, 1991)

ABSTRACT

The F₂ seeds of two rice crosses, Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72 and Himalaya 1 x China 988, were irradiated with 25 kR gamma-rays. The mean values of F₂M₁ generation decreased as compared to F₂ for plant height, spikelet fertility and grain yield in both the crosses, and for panicle length and 100-grain weight only in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988. On the other hand, mean values in the F₃M₂ generation for spikelet fertility and grain yield increased in both crosses as compared to F₃. However, the variance of F₃M₂ generations did not differ significantly from that of F₃ generation in both the crosses for the characters studied, except for grain yield in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988. Estimates of heritability and genetic advance in F₃M₂ were lower than in the corresponding F₃ for all the traits in the cross Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72, except for plant height and 100-grain weight. However, the estimates of heritability and genetic advance in the F₃M₂ generation were higher than in F₃ generation for all characters, except 100-grain weight and grain yield in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988. The study also revealed that irradiation has differential effect on the same character depending on the genetic background of the test/segregating material.

Key words: Rice, irradiation, variation, segregating generations.

Pure breeding homozygous genotypes have generally been used for mutation induction. Lately, the importance of mutagenesis in increasing recombination rate with a possibility of supplementing variability to that inherent in a cross has been realized and heterozygous and heterogeneous populations have been exposed to mutagens. Gregory [1] hypothesized that variation induced by radiation may be cumulative with that generated through hybridization. Thus, the combination of mutation breeding and conventional breeding methods should permit the breeder to derive the benefit of both the sources of variation to recover improved genotypes. For this, mutagenic treatments can be applied to the F₁ or F₂ seeds. The most useful application of mutagenic treatment in the segregating

generation may be to a cross in which the parents are widely divergent. The present investigation was, therefore, undertaken to make a comparative assessment of the effects of gamma irradiation (25 kR) of F₂ seeds on induced variability for some quantitative traits in two rice crosses, namely, Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72 and Himalaya 1 x China 988.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised irradiated and unirradiated F₂ seeds of two crosses, viz., Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72 and Himalaya 1 x China 988.

F₂ AND F₂M₁ GENERATIONS

Samples consisting of 500 F₂ seeds of both the crosses were irradiated with 25 kR gamma-rays (F₂M₁). Seeds were stabilized at 13% moisture content. Untreated 500 F₂ seeds of each cross (F₂) and 100 seeds of each parent were used as controls. Thirty-day- old seedlings grown in a germinator were transplanted to raise F₂ and F₂M₁ generations. Observations on plant height (cm), tillers/plant, panicle length (cm), spikelet fertility (%), 100- grain weight (g), and grain yield/plant (g) were recorded on 200 plants of the four F₂ and F₂M₁ treatments, and on 20 plants from the parents.

F₃ AND F₃M₂ GENERATIONS

Seeds from randomly taken normal looking 40 plants, each of the F₂M₁ and F₂ generations of the two crosses, and bulk seed of 5 plants from each parent were sown in the nursery beds for raising seedlings of F₃, F₃M₂ and parental populations. Fifteen 30-day- old seedlings of each of the 40 F₃ and 40 F₃M₂ single plant progenies, along with 25 plants of each parent per replication were transplanted in randomized block design with three replications. The observations were recorded for the above mentioned traits in addition to heading days. Individual progenies of single plants family, i.e. F₃, F₃M₂, and parents of each cross were analysed separately.

Various variability parameters, viz., genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability in broad sense (H) and genetic advance (GA) expressed as percentage of mean, were calculated only in the treatments and characters exhibiting significant variance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

F₂ AND F₂M₁ GENERATIONS

In the treated generation (F₂M₁) of the cross Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72, the mean values decreased significantly for plant height, spikelet fertility and grain yield, whereas in the cross with China 988 all the characters, except tiller number, showed significant reduction

Table 1. Mean values for different characters in the F₂ and F₂M₁ populations of two rice crosses

Cross and generation	Plant height (cm)	Tillers per plants	Panicle length (cm)	Spikelet fertility (%)	100-grain weight (g)	Grain yield per plant (g)
Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72:						
F ₂	96.5 ± 1.6	4.5 ± 0.2	22.6 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 2.0	2.2 ± 0.0	6.7 ± 0.4
F ₂ M ₁	91.0 ± 1.6*	5.0* ± 0.2	22.4 ± 0.3	32.8** ± 2.5	2.3 ± 0.0	2.3** ± 0.2
Himalaya 1 x China 988						
F ₂	81.2 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 0.2	21.7 ± 0.2	50.9 ± 2.3	2.4 ± 0.0	5.6 ± 0.4
F ₂ M ₁	66.2 ± 1.2**	6.0 ± 0.3	20.4** ± 0.2	32.3** ± 0.0	2.3* ± 0.0	1.6** ± 0.2

*, Significant increase/decrease of F₂M₁ over F₂ at P ≤ 0.01 and P ≤ 0.05, respectively.

from F₂ generation (Table 1). The decline in height may be either due to physiological damage or chromosomal aberrations caused by irradiation. Reduction in plant height after irradiation of the F₂ seeds in rice was also reported by [2, 3]. A similar explanation may be valid for the decline in mean values of other characters. The study has also revealed that irradiation has differential effect on the same characters depending on the genetic background of the test material. Regarding the effect of irradiation on induction of variability in the M₁ generation of F₂ seeds (Table 2), it has been observed that in both the crosses, the variance for plant height and grain yield decreased as compared to unirradiated F₂ generation, however, this decrease was significant only for grain yield. On the other hand, variation increased significantly for spikelet fertility and 100-grain weight in the treated F₂ generations of both crosses as compared to the respective untreated generations. Gregory [1] also reported that in terms of standard deviation, there was more variation generated in the irradiated hybrids than the unirradiated ones. It has been advocated that the M₁ plants are chimeric in nature and the immediate change in the genetic composition and physiological disturbances comprise the phenotypic expression of M₁ plants. However, the effect of physiological disturbances are eliminated almost completely in the subsequent generations.

Table 2. Variance for different characters in the F₂ and F₂M₁ of rice crosses

Cross and generation	Plant height	Tillers per plant	Panicle length	Spikelet fertility	100-grain weight	Grain yield per plant
Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72:						
F ₂	266.3	2.99	5.66	411.4	0.20	18.2
F ₂ M ₁	250.4	3.37	7.05	623.9*	0.30*	5.4**
Himalaya x China 988:						
F ₂	188.5	5.82	4.52	539.1	0.06	16.0
F ₂ M ₁	148.6	8.05	4.32	770.8*	0.11*	3.1**

**, Significant increase/decrease in F₂M₁ over F₂ at P ≤ 0.01 and P ≤ 0.05, respectively.

F₃ AND F₃M₂ GENERATIONS

Significant differences were observed within the F₃ and F₃M₂ progenies of both the crosses for all characters, except fertility in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988. This indicates presence of sufficient genetic variability for different traits in the treated as well as untreated segregating generations.

A perusal of data in Table 3 reveals that, in general, means of the F₃M₂ and F₃ generations were in close proximity for most of the traits, implying that mutations with plus and minus effects might have occurred in equal frequency in both directions. However, there were exceptions, like decrease in grain yield and spikelet fertility in F₃M₂ generation as compared to F₃ families both crosses. This is possible because of the occurrence of more polygenic mutations in minus direction.

Genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) for the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988 in the F₃M₂ generation exhibited increase over the F₃ for all the traits except 100-grain weight and grain yield. In the cross Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72, GCV in the F₃M₂ increased only per plant height and 100-grain weight and decreased for tillers per plant and spikelet fertility as compared to the respective F₃ families. For the remaining traits, GCV of the F₃M₂ generation was almost the same as in F₃.

Phenotypic coefficients of variation in F₃M₂ increased over F₃ for plant height and grain yield in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988, and for 100-grain weight in Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72. However, PCV for grain yield in F₃M₂ exhibited a decline over the corresponding F₃ in the cross with Phul Patas 72. Phenotypic coefficients of variation were similar in both treated and untreated generations for the remaining traits.

Heritability in broad sense in the F₃M₂ generation increased over the F₃ for plant height, 100-grain weight, and grain yield in the cross Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72. Substantial increase in heritability in the treated generation over untreated was also observed for plant height, tiller number, panicle length and days to heading in the cross with China 988. On the other hand, heritability decreased for tiller number, panicle length and spikelet fertility in the cross Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72, and for 100-grain weight and grain yield in the F₃M₂ of Himalaya 1 x China 988.

Estimates of genetic advance, expressed as percentage of mean in F₃M₂ exhibited substantial increase over F₃ for plant height in both crosses, and for days to heading in Himalaya 1 x China 988 only. However, genetic advance in F₃M₂ decreased for grain yield in the cross Himalaya 1 x China 988 and for spikelet fertility in Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72. For the remaining traits, genetic advance was of same magnitude in the treated and untreated generations.

Table 3. Mean performance, range and genetic parameters for different traits in F₃ and F₃M₂ generations of two rice crosses

Generation	Mean $\pm$ SE	Range	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h^2_{bs} (%)	GA (%)
Days to heading						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	97.08 $\pm$ 0.60	87.2–109.0	4.7	6.5	52.4	6.81
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	97.13 $\pm$ 0.53	89.9–109.2	4.6	6.8	46.2	6.03
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	92.24 $\pm$ 0.60	86.3–101.4	2.9	6.0	23.9	2.79
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	92.91 $\pm$ 0.61	82.1–101.8	3.9	5.8	44.2	5.15
Plant height						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	82.68 $\pm$ 1.16	62.2–103.1	9.09	16.1	32.0	8.77
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	78.89 $\pm$ 1.21	56.9–101.9	12.72	16.5	59.6	16.17
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	78.14 $\pm$ 1.19	51.6–92.7	12.58	15.4	66.5	16.51
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	74.14 $\pm$ 1.28	51.0–91.0	16.64	18.6	80.2	22.76
Tillers per plant						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	4.99 $\pm$ 0.12	3.3–8.4	17.39	25.5	46.5	1.22
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	5.61 $\pm$ 0.12	4.0–8.0	15.46	24.0	41.3	1.15
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	6.64 $\pm$ 0.13	4.6–8.5	8.36	19.9	17.6	0.48
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	5.98 $\pm$ 0.12	3.2–7.8	11.26	20.4	30.6	0.77
Panicle length						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	21.37 $\pm$ 0.12	19.8–23.7	2.54	5.1	24.7	0.56
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	21.24 $\pm$ 0.11	19.5–23.2	2.42	5.8	17.5	0.44
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	20.91 $\pm$ 0.11	19.3–22.7	2.36	5.5	18.8	0.44
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	21.02 $\pm$ 0.11	18.9–22.6	3.52	5.5	41.0	0.98
Spikelet fertility						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	50.32 $\pm$ 0.81	31.8–62.6	12.01	17.3	47.9	8.62
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	47.67* $\pm$ 0.85	33.2–62.7	7.82	18.6	17.7	3.23
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	52.10 $\pm$ 0.77	42.2–62.7	NS	NS	NS	NS
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	51.95 $\pm$ 0.72	34.3–66.2	NS	NS	NS	NS
100-grain weight						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	2.25 $\pm$ 0.02	1.75–2.70	7.81	11.2	48.7	0.25
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	2.24 $\pm$ 0.03	1.73–2.92	9.08	12.7	50.8	0.32
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	2.69 $\pm$ 0.02	2.24–3.06	5.57	9.1	37.6	0.17
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	2.40 $\pm$ 0.02	2.00–2.85	5.53	9.9	31.0	0.15
Grain yield/plant						
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	6.43 $\pm$ 0.17	3.47–9.87	19.47	28.3	48.8	1.83
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x Phul Patas 72)	6.21 $\pm$ 0.15	4.28–10.39	18.43	26.2	49.4	1.66
F ₃ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	7.22 $\pm$ 0.18	4.48–12.68	24.46	27.3	80.0	3.26
F ₃ M ₂ (Himalaya 1 x China 988)	6.11* $\pm$ 0.16	2.87–9.99	24.79	28.2	76.5	2.73

*Significant reduction in F₃M₂ over the respective F₃ at P $\leq$ 0.05. NS—nonsignificant.

It can be visualized that the estimates of genetic parameters are of the same order in the treated as well as untreated segregating generations for complex traits like 100-grain weight and yield, whereas the treated generations had greater variability for plant height, tillers/plant, panicle length, and spikelet fertility, which have relatively simple inheritance. Probably the effect of irradiation on the segregating populations in producing heritable mutations appear to be balancing out with no net gain or loss of the total phenotypic variability as observed in the unirradiated segregating generations particularly for complex characters like 100-grain weight and yield [3]. However, the treatments of heterozygous and heterogeneous F₂ material allows mutational events to occur at both heterozygous and homozygous loci. Hence variations in the treated hybrids may be caused in one or a set of characters by point mutations, by enhancing the recombination rate and/or by chromosomal damage so as to release the total variability in blocks of linked genes. This offers the same advantage as generally expected from intermating in early segregating generations.

REFERENCES

1. W. C. Gregory. 1956. The comparative effect of radiation and hybridization in plant breeding. *Proc. 1st UN Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy*, 12: 48-51.
2. S. S. Saini and D. Sharma. 1970. Radiation induced variation in rice improvement. *Indian J. Genet.*, 30: 569-578.
3. D. S. Virk, S. S. Saini and V. P. Gupta. 1978. Gamma radiation induced polygenic variation in pure breeding and segregating genotypes of wheat and rice. *Env. Expt. Bot.*, 18: 185-191.