# HYBRID VIGOUR IN THREE-WAY CROSSES OF MULBERRY SILKWORM BOMBYX MORI L.

## S. K. DAS, B. GHOSH, S. PATTNAIK<sup>1</sup>, N. K. DAS, T. SINGH<sup>2</sup>, S. K. SEN AND G. SUBBA RAO<sup>3</sup>

Silkworm Breeding and Genetics Section, Central Sericultural Research and Training Institute, Berhampore 742 101

(Received: August 26, 1993; accepted: January 27, 1997)

## ABSTRACT

The present experiment was carried out with a view to combine the best characters of the popular evolved multivoltine breed 'G' with bivoltine hybrids for rearing under tropical conditions. The crosses and their parents were reared during three seasons for study of heterosis. Heterosis over mid-and better parents was determined for seven important commercial characters. The degree of heterosis varied considerably for different economic characters in different seasons. Highly significant heterosis was noticed over both parental values for most of the characters during dry summer season. The overall data indicate that two crosses viz., G x (P5xNB18) and G x (NB18xP%) during dry summer and two crosses viz., G x (KPG-BxNB7) and G x (NB7x KPG-B) during autumn and winter seasons can be exploited commercially in the tropical plants.

Key words : Bombyx mori L., silkworm, heterosis, three-way crosses.

Silkworm set the earliest and best example in utilization of hybrid vigour [1] like corn [2]. Heterosis studies for some quantitative characters in silkworm were made by several workers [3-11]. Tropical multivoltine silkworms are hardy with short larval period but their silk yield is less with poor quality [12]. On the other hand, bivoltine worms which originated in temperate climate are excellent for many qualitative and quantitative characters but can not survive well especially during summer months. Moreover, its long larval period causes inconvenience to the farmers for management. The present experiment was carried out with a view to combine the best traits of the popular evolved yellow multivoltine breed G with bivoltine  $F_1$  hybrids for rearing under tropical conditions. Bivoltine  $F_1$  hybrid male parents have been selected in our experiment instead of inbred males to produce three-way crosses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Berhampur, Orissa. <sup>2</sup>Silkworm Seed Technology Laboratory, Bangalore 560 035. <sup>3</sup>Central Silk Board, 39, M.G. Road, Bangalore 560 001.

(Multivoltine  $\times$  Bivoltine  $F_1$ ) for several reasons such as better tolerance to climatic conditions, shorter larval period and increased yield. The last is of greatest importance in sericulture. Thus, the practical difficulty associated with low productivity of inbred disease susceptible bivoltine line can be overcome by using hybrid males.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three way-crosses were made between the popular yellow multivoltine breed G as female and two bivoltine hybrids and their reciprocals viz., P-5 × NB-18, BNB-18 × P- 5, KPG-B × NB-7 and NB-7 × KPG-B as males. The inbred stocks G, P-5, NB-18, KLG-B and NB-7 used in the experiment were maintained by sib-mating for the last 8-10 years. The four types of three-way crosses along with the female parent G and four male hybrid parents were reared at room temperature by feeding four times a day with mulberry leaves of  $S_1$  variety as per normal schedule [13] during three seasons (June-July, 1988, October-November, 1988; and December-January, 1988-89). Four replications were maintained for each of the cross and parents. Data were collected on number of eggs laid, larval period, survival percentage, single cocoon weight, single shell weight, filament length and yield per 100 layings. Heterosis over mid parent (MP) and better parent (BP) was determined as per standard statistical method followed by Tayade [6]. In West Bengal, autumn (October- November) and winter (December-January) seasons are considered as most favourable for silkworm rearing while summer (June-July) season needs hardy silkworm breeds.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mean rearing performance data on seven economic characters of the four three-way crosses along with the female and male parents in three seasons are presented in Table 1. The heterosis values over mid-parent (MPV) and better parent (BPV) of all the crosses during three seasons are given in Table 2. For assessment, the data are described seasonwise.

## SUMMER CROP (June-July) :

These two months are considered as the summer season and very unfavourable for mulberry silkworm rearing in West Bengal due to very high temperatures (26°-36°C) and high relative humidity (80-96%). The farmers of West Bengal give maximum emphasis to the survival percentage and yield per 100 dfls in evaluating silkworm rearing during this season. The survival percentage of all the three-way crosses and female parent are almost equal and ranged from 70.28 (G) to 77.62 [G × (NB18 × P5)], but among male hybrid parents it was nearly two fold less

ranging only between 30.40 (NB7  $\times$  KPG-B) and 49.94 (NB18  $\times$  P5). Moreover, high molting percentage was noticed in pupal stage among the surviving males. The maximum yield per 100 dfls was 53.55 in  $G \times (NB18 \times P5)$  where as in female parent G, it was 32.23 and among male parents 3 to 4 times less than the three-way crosses (Table 1). The number of eggs per laying, which showed a bit improvement among all the three-way crosses, ranged from 398 [G × (NB7×KPG-B)] to 439 [G ×(P5×NB18)]. In the female parent (G) it was 389 and among male parents it ranged from 420 (P5 KPG-B). Positive and significant heterotic effects were noticed in most of the characters of all the three-way crosses (Table 2). For no. of eggs per laying, no positive heterotic effect over MP and BP was noticed except in  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$ . The desirable negative heterotic effect for larval period was recorded only over MP. For survival percentage, significant positive effects over MP were noticed in all the three-way crosses which ranged from 24.58 [G × (P5×NB18)] to 40.97 [G × (NB7×KPG-B)]. Over BP, heterotic effects for the same character were also positive although insignificant. The heterotic effects over MPV and BPV for SCW, SSW, filament length and yield per 100 dfls were found highly positive and significant in all the three-way crosses except in  $G \times (NB7 \times KPG-B)$  over BPV (Table 2). However, the highest heterotic effects were noticed in  $G \times (NB18 \times P5)$  over MPV and BPV, which were 33.45 and 27.65 for SCW, 50.55 and 47.79 for SSW and 51.81 and 50.26 for filament length, respectively, followed by  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$  for the same characters. The maximum heterotic effect (105.34) was found over MPV for yield per 100 dlfs in  $G \times (KPG-B \times NB7)$  followed by  $G \times (NB18 \times P5)$  (98.06). But for per per'se performance, highest yield per 100 dlfs was recorded in  $G \times (NB \times P5)$  (53.55 kg), followed by  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$  (49.88 Kg).

## AUTUMN SEASON (October-November) :

These two months are known as autumn season, and are favourable for all kinds of mulberry silkworm rearing in West Bengal. The highest survival percentage (94.44), SCW (1.736) and SSW (0.309) were observed in  $G \times (NB7 \times KPG-B)$  and fecudity (417) and yield per 100 dfls (59.28 kg.) in  $G \times (KPG-B \times NB7)$  (Table 1). Positive and significant heterotic effects over BPV were noticed over both parental values except for number of eggs per laying and a few values (Table 2). For number of eggs per laying, all the heterotic effects were negative and some of them were significant also. Desirable negative larval periods were noticed in all the three-way crosses over MPV. For survival percentage all the heterotic effects were positive over BPV in except in except  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$  and  $G \times (NB18 \times P5)$  crosses. The highest and significant heterosis observed in  $G \times (KPG-B \times NB7)$  over both parental values for survival percentage of 22.21 and 20.49 was. For SCW, most of the heterotic effects were positive and significant and maximum value was recorded in  $G \times (NB7 \times KPG-B)$ 

nd Lar	Survi	Survival %		S.S.W.	Fil.Len	Yld/100	
o) Pd	Orig	Trans	- (g)	(g)	(m)	Dfls	
(Days)			·····			(kg)	
9 20	73.76	59.19	1.722	0.293	861	49.88	
2 20	77.62	61.77	1.764	0.293	952	53.55	
5 20	73.35	58.92	1.670	0.280	838	48.10	
8 20	70.97	57.40	1.585	0.275	814	39.88	
9 20	70.28	56.97	1.382	0.191	620	32.23	
0 26	48.13	43.93	1.309	0.203	601	21.00	
9 26	<b>49.94</b>	44.96	1.262	0.198	633	21.85	
2 26	35.35	36.48	1.257	0.207	625	14.63	
8 26	30.40	33.46	1.243	0.201	619	13.75	
						_	
4 22	91.97	73.54	1.657	0.294	1045	54.93	
6 22	86.98	68.85	1.611	0.274	978	49.83	
7 22	92.06	73.64	1.644	0.307	1028	59.28	
1 22	94.44	76.36	1.736	0.309	918	50.83	
9 26	49.94	44.96	1.262	0.198	633	21.85	
2 26	35.35	36.48	1.257	0.207	625	14.63	
8 26	30.40	33.46	1.243	0.201	619	13.75	
4 22	91.97	73.54	1.657	0.294	1045	54.93	
6 22	86.98	68.85	1.611	0.274	<del>9</del> 78	49.83	
7 22	92.06	73.64	1.644	0.307	1028	59.28	
1 22	94.44	76.36	1.736	0.309	918	50.83	
2 22	76.41	60.94	1.120	0.159	611	24.33	
1 26	93.85	75.64	1.582	0.301	1071	59.70	
0 26	93.79	75.57	1.691	0.337	1074	48.90	
7 26	74.26	59.51	1.452	0.284	1108	44.48	
6 26	86.29	68.27	1.482	0.296	1023	43.02	
	00.27		1.402	0.4.70	1020		
6 72	86 OF	69.97	1 970	0 330	1062	74.23	
						72.80	
						69.43	
3 23	85.68	67.76	1.888	0.359		71.65	
	6 23   19 23   17 23   13 23	192386.73172388.54	192386.7368.64172388.5470.21	192386.7368.642.009372388.5470.211.897	192386.7368.642.0090.356372388.5470.211.8970.320	192386.7368.642.0090.3561145172388.5470.211.8970.3201019	

Table 1. Mean values of different characters of mulberry in three-way crosses and parents

G × (NB7×KPG-B)	463	23	85.68	67.76	1.888	0.359	968	71.65
G	478	23	75.91	60.61	1.604	0.232	689	54.73
NB18xP5	440	25	99.16	84.73	1.769	0.340	1058	70.83
KPG-B×NB7	415	25	98.31	82.53	1.563	0.309	1039	66.05
NB7×KPG-B	467	25	96.61	79.39	1.605	0.327	1041	73.63
CD at 5%								
Race/comb.	36	(#)	-	6.21	0.057	0.015	59	6.03
Race $\times$ season	63	(#)	-	10.76	0.099	0.026	102	10.44

(#) ANOVA for data on larval period is not done as their error variance is zero; Fecund : No. of eggs per laying; Lar Pd : Larval period; S.C.W.; Single cocoon weight: S.S.W.; Single shell weight; Fil Len : Filament length; Yld/100 dfls : Yield per 100 disease free layings; Orig : Original; Trans : Transformed.

(33.46 and 17.14 over MPV and BPV respectively). The highest positive and significant heterotic effects were observed in  $G \times (KPG-B\times NB7)$  for SSw (39.3) and yield per 100 dlfs (72.31) and for filament length in  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$  (24.21) over MPV.

## WINTER CROP (December-January) :

These two months are considered as the winter season in West Bengal and favourable for rearing all kinds of mulberry silkworm. The mean performance of all the three-way crosses and their parents was very good during this season (Table 1), which caused the heterotic effects to be negative or insignificant over both parental values in most of the crosses for number of eggs per laying, survival percentage and larval period (Table 2). For SCW, positive and significant heterotic effects over MPV and BPV were found in all the crosses which ranged from 17.68 to 20.17 and 13.57 to 18.25 respectively. For SSW, the heterotic effects were positive and significant over MPV and ranged from 17.08 [G × (P5×NB18)] to 28.50 [G × NB7×KPG-B) (0.95). Filament length exhibited highly significant and positive heterotic effect over MPV in all crosses ranging from 11.98 [G × (NB7×KPG-B0]to 31.12 [G× (NB18× P5)]. The yield per 100 dfls revealed positive heterotic effects over both parental values except G × (NB7XKPG-B) (-.68) over BPV. The highest value over MPV (32.10) and over BPV (28.75) was found in G × (P5 × 18).

During summer season the analysis revealed high degree of heterosis in all the three-way crosses (Table 1 and 2). This difference in the performance of the crosses from season to season may be explained to be due to environmental effect which is known to modify additive and dominance effects of genes as reported by earlier authors [4-5, 14-15].

Race/ Combination		ECUND	LAR PD	Survi- s val %	S.C.W.	<b>S.S.W.</b>	Fil.Len	YLD/100 Dfls
JUNE-JULY								
G × (P5×NB18)	MP	8.51	-13.04	24.58	27.96**	<b>48.38<sup>**</sup></b>	<b>4</b> 1.03 <sup>**</sup>	87.41**
	BP	4.47	0.00	4.95	24.61	43.91**	38.81**	54.77**
G × (NB18×P5)	MP	73	-13.04	29.13	33.45	50.55**	51.81**	98.06**
	BP	-13.48	0.00	10.44	27.65**	<b>47.79<sup>**</sup></b>	50.26**	<b>66</b> .18 <sup>**</sup>
G × (KPG-B×NB7)	MP	1.19	13.04	38.88	26.56	40.62**	34.63**	105.34**
	BP	-5.92	0.00	4.36	20.86**	35.10**	34.12**	<b>49.26</b> **
G × (NB7×KPG-B)	MP	-14.11	-13.04	40.97	20.78**	40.31 <sup>**</sup>	31.33**	73.46**
	BP	-26.06**	0.00	0.98	14.71**	36.82**	31.20**	23.74
OCT-NOV								
G × (P5×NB18)	MP	-14.35	-8.33	8.03	2.68**	27.82*	* 24.21*	30.73
	BP	-29.32**	0.00	-2.01	4.76	-2.41	-2.47	-8.00
$G \times (NB18 \times P5)$	MP	-12.48	-8.33	2.21	14.64	10.75	* 16.06	* 36.09*
	BP	-24.36**	0.00	-7.26	-4.73	-18.56	*8.94	1.89
G ×(KPG-B×NB7)	MP	-0.60	-8.33	22.21 <sup>*</sup>	* 27.86	<b>*</b> 39.03	19.59	
`	BP	-10.76	0.00	20.49 <sup>*</sup>	* 13.23		-7.24	33.28
G × (NB7×KPG-B)	MP	-12. <b>7</b> 9 <sup>*</sup>	-8.33	16.09	33.46	<b>36.05</b>	12.40	50.93
	BP	-25.58**	0.00	9.45	17.14	4.48	-10.22	18.14
DECEMBER-JANUARY								
$G \times (P5 \times NB18)$	MP	9.50	-6.12	3.92	20.17	17.08	22.80	
	BP	1.68	0.00	-4.90	17.61	·* –2.17	2.02	28.75 <sup>*</sup>
G × (NB18×P5)	MP	-2.23	-4.17	-0.92	19.12	24.42	31.12	15.97
	BP	-6.07	0.00	-12.53	13.57	•• 4.71	8.25	2.79
G × (KPG-B×NB7)	MP	2.52	-4.17	1.64	19.77	* 18.30	17.91	* 14.97
	BP	-4.24	0.00	9.94	18.25	* 3.64	-1.97	5.11
G × (NB7× KPG-B)	MP	-1.98	-4.17	-0.67	17.68	* 28.50	* 11.98	11.65
	BP	-3.04	0.00	-11.31	17.63	9.95	-94	-2.68

Table 2. Heterosis in three-way crosses

\* \*\* Significant at 5% and 1%; MP : Mid parent value and BP : Better parent value.

From the *per se* performance as well as heterosis values, two crosses viz.,  $G \times (P5 \times NB18)$  and  $G \times (NB18 \times P5)$  for summer and two crosses viz.,  $G \times (KPG-B \times NB7)$  and  $G \times (NB7 \times KPG-B)$  for autumn and winter may be selected for commercial exploitation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. K. Toyma. 1906. Studies on hybridology of insects. In some silkworm crosses with special reference to Mendel's law of heredity. Bull. Coll. Agric., Tokyo Univ., 7: 259-293.
- 2. E. East and H. K. Hayers. 1912. Heterozygosis in evolution and plant breeding, U.S.D.A., Bur. Plant Ind. Bull., 243, 1-58.
- K. Sengupta, R. K. Datta, S. N. Biswas and B. D. Singh 1971. Heterosis in multivoltine silkworm (Bombyx mori L.). I. Yield performance of F<sub>1</sub> hybrids of Nistari and four evolved multivoltine breeds. Indian J. Seric., 10: 6-13.
- 4. K. Sengupta, M. R. Yusuf and S. P. Grover. 1974. Hybrid vigour and genetic analysis of quantitative traits in silkworm. Indian. J. Genet., SABRAO, 34: 249-256.
- 5. M. S. Jolly. 1983. Silkworm genetics and breeding. Lead paper. National Seminar on Seric. Research and Development, 10-13 March, Bangalore, 1-19.
- 6. D. S. Tayade, 1987. Heterosis effect on economic traits of new hybrids of silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. under Marathawada condition. Sericologia, 27: 301-307.
- 7. G. Subba Rao, S. K. Das and B. Ghosh. 1989. Heterosis effect on some new silkworm hybrids evolved by three-way cross. Perspectives in Cytology & Genetics, 6: 373-378.,
- 8. G. Subba Rao, S. K. Sen, B. Ghosh and S. K. Das. 1992. Heterosis in some hybrids of indigenous and biovoltine races of mulberry silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Perspectives in Cytology & Genetics, 7: 1043-1054.
- 9. R. Singh, J. Nagaraja, P. Rammohana Rao, V. Premalatha, K. Vijayaraghavan & S. K. Gupta. 1990. Heterosis analysis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Sericologia, 30: 293-300.
- 10. J. Ashoka and R. Govindan. 1990. Heterosis for pupal and related traits in single and double cross hybrids of bivoltine silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. Entomon, 15: 203-206.
- 11. S. K. Das, S. Pattnaik, B. Ghosh, T. Singh, B. P. Nair, S. K. Sen and G. Subba Rao. 1993. Heterosis analysis in some three-way crosses of *Bombyx mori* L., Sericologia, (In press).
- 12. M. R. Goldsmith, 1991. Silkworm breeding for the 90's : New molecular and genetic tool to meet the challenge of the tropics. Sericologia, 31: 145-155
- 13. S. Krishnaswami, 1978. New Technology of silkworm rearing. Bulletin No. 2. C.S.R. & T.I., C.S.B., Mysore, 23 pp.
- 14. R. W. Allard. 1956. The analysis of genetic environmental interaction by means of diallel cross. Genetics, 3: 305-318.
- 15. D. S. Falconr. 1982. Introduction to quantitative genetics. 2nd Ed. Longman, London and New York. pp. 340.