



Short Communication

Evaluation of germplasm collection of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* and *C. oxycantha*) in dryland conditions of Iran

S. S. Pourdad¹ and J. B. Singh²

Deptt. of Plant Breeding, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar 263 145

(Received: January, 2000; Revised: July, 2001; Accepted: August 2001)

Knowles [1] denoted that the Middle East as the center of origin for cultivated safflower. Ashri [2] divided this center of origin into three regions: Iran, Afghanistan, Near East and Turkey.

The objective of this study was to determine the variability among a germplasm collection in Iran for yield and some other characters in dryland conditions, to determine the grouping of genotypes in different clusters and to identify the desirable parental genotypes for exploitation in crossing programme between cultivated genotypes as well as cultivated ones with wild genotypes of safflower aimed at improving seed yield for the dryland conditions of Iran.

Plant materials consisted of 150 genotypes of *Carthamus tinctorius* and 19 Iranian genotypes of *Carthamus oxycantha*. 85 out of *C. tinctorius* genotypes received from ICARDA (International Center of Agricultural Research in Dryland Areas) had different origins and 65 genotypes were Iranian materials. All the genotypes were planted on 24 October 1997 in the farm of Agricultural Research Station of Sararood in the west of Iran. The non-parametric method of K-means clustering with Euclidean distance was used for classification of genotypes [3]. The number of clusters was determined by Ward method of hierarchical cluster analysis. The result of cluster analysis can contribute directly to development of classification schemes [4].

Based on K-means cluster analysis, all the genotypes were classified into 4 groups with different number of genotypes in each cluster (Table 1). The *C. tinctorius* genotypes from ICARDA and Iran were scattered in different clusters, each cluster having genotypes of different origins. But in cluster II with 24 members, 22 genotypes were the ICARDA genotypes

and only two genotypes (Unknown-1 and 32-8) belonged to Iranian collection. Three genotypes of *C. tinctorius*

Table 1. Distribution of 169 genotypes of *Carthamus* into four clusters

| Cluster | No. of genotypes | Name of genotypes |
|---------|------------------|---|
| I | 53 | CW4440, DINGER, GIRARD, HARTIMAN, KINO76, LESAF14, CYPRUS- SLOCAL, SAFFIRE, SYRIAN, THORI78, YENCIE, 209287, 250538, 250539, 252041, 199874, 250338, 250536, 250537, 250540, 250599, 250600, 250601, 251462, 251982, 251982, 252984, 253396, 253564, 258417, 260618, 283790, 301048, 301055, 304472, 307112, 514632, 537600, 537683, S-6-48, LRV-51-51, LRV-51-20, S-6-48, LRV-55-292, S-6-604-1, RINCONDA-1, DINSER, YENCIE, LRV-55-56, GOLE SEFIC ESFAHAN, 79-7, 79-26, 79-41 |
| II | 24 | DINGER118, 307060, GILA, LESAF176, S-541-2, 5209-C, SIND DH, WORLD BALK, 250835, 198290, 199885, 199887, 210834, 248624, 250184, 250596, 250842, 251988, 253522, 253528, 537598, SEL. FROM S-541, UNKNOWN-1, 32-8 |
| III | 57 | CYPROBREGON, CW74, OKREC, S-541, US10, 250838, 199885, 209299, 250195, 250840, 251268, 253763, 258409, 304462, 306909, 306924, 307014, 407610, 537599, 537631, 537636, 537648, SYRIAN II, 295, LRV-51-31, 279, LRV-55-65, 697, LRV-290, LRV-55-67, LRV-55-277, S-7-V-60, CH-353, ASETERIA, UNKNOWN-2, ZARGHAN LOCAL, CH-65, 3147, SYRIAN, NAMELESS, 32-14, 79-14, 79-15, 7-17, 79-20, 79-27, 79-31, 79-38, 79-39, 79-40, 79-45, 79-46, 79-47, 79-53, 79-56, 79-57, 79-58 |
| IV | 35 | 248373, SYRIAN I, LRV-55-296, 31-22, 32-13, 32-15, 79-18, 79-25, 79-29, 79-30, 79-32, 79-33, 79-49, 79-50, 79-52, 79-59, 79-63, 79-64, 79-65, 79-66, 79-67, 79-68, 79-69, 79-70, 79-71, 79-72, 79-73, 79-74, 79-75, 79-76, 79-77, 79-78, 79-79, 79-80, 79-81 |

¹Dryland Agriculture Research Institute, Sararood, Iran

²Deptt. of Mathematics, Statistics & Computer Application, GBPAUT, Pantnagar 263 145

Table 2. Mean, range, coefficient of variation and correlation with seed yield per plant for seven characters in four clusters

| Character (with abbreviation and unit) | Cluster No. | Mean | Range | CV% | Correlation with SY/P |
|--|-------------|--------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| Seed yield per plot | I | 213.42 | 24.0-260.0 | 15.42 | - |
| | II | 313.12 | 270.0-468.0 | 14.51 | - |
| | III | 137.26 | 100.0-175.0 | 16.60 | - |
| | IV | 53.09 | 18.0-87.0 | 39.16 | - |
| Plant height (cm) | I | 86.46 | 67.0-102.0 | 8.70 | -0.093 |
| | II | 87.04 | 76.0-102.0 | 6.73 | 0.030 |
| | III | 88.84 | 67.0-112.0 | 10.52 | -0.048 |
| | IV | 62.40 | 30.0-97.0 | 36.52 | 0.588** |
| 200-seed weight | I | 6.62 | 4.6-8.2 | 16.92 | 0.077 |
| | II | 7.01 | 4.6-8.6 | 12.23 | -0.002 |
| | III | 5.76 | 3.4-9.0 | 21.70 | 0.136 |
| | IV | 3.56 | 1.6-9.0 | 53.08 | 0.363* |
| No. of heads per plant | I | 21.31 | 10.0-32.0 | 21.25 | 0.161 |
| | II | 22.25 | 13.0-30.0 | 18.24 | -0.066 |
| | III | 17.14 | 9.0-26.0 | 23.92 | 0.321* |
| | IV | 18.80 | 10.0-34.0 | 26.81 | 0.116 |
| No. of seeds per head | I | 17.21 | 14.0-21.0 | 1.00 | 0.117 |
| | II | 19.25 | 15.0-25.0 | 11.27 | 0.446* |
| | III | 16.88 | 12.0-23.0 | 14.04 | 0.219 |
| | IV | 17.66 | 14.0-21.0 | 11.49 | 0.231 |
| Days to flowering | I | 213.23 | 209.0-217.0 | 0.98 | -0.054 |
| | II | 212.83 | 209.0-217.0 | 0.87 | 0.201 |
| | III | 214.86 | 210.0-220.0 | 0.83 | -0.200 |
| | IV | 219.23 | 212.0-225.0 | 1.41 | -0.416* |
| Days to physiological maturity | I | 235.69 | 233.0-240.0 | 0.62 | -0.132 |
| | II | 236.04 | 234.0-240.0 | 0.61 | 0.527* |
| | III | 235.50 | 232.0-242.0 | 0.83 | -0.035 |
| | IV | 235.69 | 232.0-239.0 | 0.67 | -0.036 |

* and ** significant at 5% and 1% level respectively

(Yencie, Syrian and S-6-48) were common between ICARDA and Iranian materials. Cluster analysis classified both of Yencie and S-6-48 in the first cluster. But two genotypes of Syria were placed in different clusters. Further study in these genotypes showed that Syrian genotype received from ICARDA had yellow and red

flowers but the genotype received from Iran had yellow flowers, also there were differences among other characters indicating that these two Syrian genotypes have different origin. In general it was found that this method of cluster analysis has been effective in classification of present genotypes.

Out of 35 Iranian genotypes (*C. oxycantha*), 19 wild genotypes were placed into cluster IV and 16 cultivated safflower genotypes (*C. tinctorius*) were also placed in this cluster. Mean seed yield/plant, plant height and 200-seeds weight in this cluster is lower than in other clusters (Table 2). Existence of different genotypes from different origins in the same cluster suggested that there may be some degree of ancestral relationship between such genotypes.

Safflower fly is the common and important pest in safflower. In the present study it was observed that all the *C. tinctorius* genotypes were infested by this pest but wild types (*C. oxycantha*) showed very little infestation. Wild genotypes are also more resistant to water stress as compared to cultivated genotypes. So inter-specific hybridization between *C. tinctorius* and *C. oxycantha* can be useful in a breeding programs at least for these two characters. Similarly variation within cluster IV is more than that in other clusters.

References

1. **Anderberg M. R.** 1973. Cluster analysis for applications. Academic Press, Inc., New York.
2. **Ashri A.** 1975. evaluation of the germplasm collection of safflower, *Carthamus tinctorius* L. V. Distribution and regional divergence for morphological characters. *Euphytica*, **24**: 651-659.
3. **Hartigan J. A.** 1975. Clustering algorithms. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
4. **Knowles P. F.** 1969. Centers of plant diversity and consideration of crop germplasm safflower. *Econ. Bot.*, **23**: 324-329.