

# Transformation of genetical ratios in crosses involving X-ray irradiated pollen grains in rice (Oryza sativa L.)

## P. S. Santhoshlal<sup>1</sup> and K. Pavithran

Department of Botany, Division of Genetics, University of Calicut, Calicut 673 635

(Received: December 2005; Revised: November 2006; Accepted: December 2006)

#### **Abstract**

Early reports on radiation dependant-genetical transformation indicated that sublethal levels of irradiated pollen grains allow development of normal hybrid progeny but in subsequent generations they occasionally express paternal characters due to differential inheritance of donor genes. However, detailed genetic analysis has not yet been followed up for confirmatory analysis of genetic transformation especially in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Presently different crosses of (normal and treated) Cherumodan (green) × Japan Violet (purple) were effected by using X-ray irradiated pollen grains of the male parent in the treated crosses. The crosses were studied up to F<sub>3</sub> of the control and M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> of the treated generations for inheritance/differential inheritance for fourteen morphological characters. The results showed transformation of genetical ratios in respect of ten morphological characters in the M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> populations when compared to the control. Observations recorded in the F<sub>2</sub>/M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> are duly confirmed by the results obtained from the F<sub>3</sub>/M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generations. It could be concluded that irradiation might have caused allelic differences as to transform genetical ratios concerned as discussed.

Key words:

Rice, hybridization, irradiated pollen grains, transformation, genetical ratios

### Introduction

The use of irradiated pollen to transfer a single gene or part of the male nuclear component to seed parent has been reported earlier [1-10]. It has also been reported that such transformants were fertile and had maternal phenotypes except for those specific traits transformed from the donor. Various mechanisms were proposed for explaining the phenomenon of this limited gene transfer such as (i) incorporations of paternal DNA fragments into the egg nucleus by pseudo fertilization followed by a parthenogenetic doubling of the egg genome as proposed by Pandey [3, 4], (ii) differential gene transfer, this theory proposes that sublethal levels of radiation allow the development of normal hybrid progeny; in the subsequent generation they occasionally express the paternal characters due

to differential transmission of donor chromosomes [6, 7]. (iii) a 'meiotic sieve' that acts at or after M₁ meiosis which limits the transmission of paternal genes as suggested by Snape et al. [10]. Sarigorla et al. [11] revealed that the seeds from X-rays irradiated pollen have unexpected phenotypes in F1 and also observed a significant excess of recessive alleles in F2 and BC generations. Similar observations were also reported by several early workers [6, 8-10, 12]. However, the earlier workers did not give much attention for a detailed genetic analysis for the occurrence of such excess recessive alleles in irradiated pollen used crosses or in the inheritance of such characters [13]. Keeping these lacunae in the basic information, the present study was undertaken to analyse the possible' reasons for the occurrence of such 'excess recessive alleles in the second generation progenies which resulted in irradiated pollen used crosses.

### Materials and methods

In the present study two rice varieties, a purple semidwarf plant Japan Violet as male and Cherumodan, a popular green upland variety of Malabar as female were used for hybridization. The female parent had all plant parts green except purple leaf axil. The male parent had all plant parts purple with awn and tip sterility. Florets of Japan Violet panicles were irradiated prior to anthesis with X-rays at a rate of 420 rads/minute by the X-ray machine Maximar - 100 at the Radiation Department of the Calicut Medical College. Three doses 1500, 2000 and 5000 rads X-rays were used for irradiation of pollen grains. Emasculated female panicles were pollinated by dusting the pollen grains over the hairy stigmatic lobes with the help of a fine brush. Thus four crosses viz., 'Cherumodan x Japan Violet-normal, Cherumodan x Japan Violet-1500 rads, Cherumodan × Japan Violet -2000 rads and Cherumodan × Japan Violet - 5000 rads were made.

Morphological characters such as pigmentation in leaf axil, leaf sheath, leaf blade, leaf margin, leaf tip,

junctura proper, ligule, auricle, node, internode, stigma, apiculus and two other characters such as awning and tip sterility were considered for the X-ray induced variations.  $F_1$  and  $M_1F_1$  seeds (the seeds derived from irradiated pollen used crosses were designated as  $M_1$   $F_1$  and those from normal cross as  $F_1$ ) were harvested 25-30 days after pollination and these were germinated in petridishes and transplanted to well puddled pots for further studies. Controlled emasculations without pollination were used to detect spontaneous parthenogenesis. Inheritance of above mentioned morphological characters were analysed in  $F_2/M_2F_2$  and  $F_3/M_3F_3$  generations based on Chi-square test.

## Results and discussion

Observations made on the total number of spikelets crossed, percentage of seed set, number of cross seeds harvested, number of  $F_1/M_1F_1$  plants and  $F_2/M_2F_2$  plants grown are detailed in Table 1. Data on the percentage

In F2/M2F2 leaf axil pigmentation showed duplicate factor control, nodal pigmentation showed inhibitory factor control and awning and tip sterility invariably showed monogenic recessive control in all the above four crosses studied, thereby showing that these characters exhibited more genetic stability against X-ray irradiation (Table 2). On the other hand leaf sheath, leaf margin, leaf tip, internode, stigma and apiculus pigmentation showed dominant monogenic control in the normal cross, while digenic complementary interaction in the cross Cherumodan x Japan Violet 5000 rads. Further, leaf margin pigmentation gave 9:7 in 1500 and 2000 rads treated crosses and leaf tip pigmentation gave 9:7 in 1500 rads treated cross in addition to the 5000 rads treatment as detailed above (Table 2). However, in the case of leaf sheath, internode apiculus and stigma pigmentation, only 5000 rads treatment gave digenic complementary ratio 9:7 against 3: 1 in the normal cross or other treated crosses

Table 1. Details of seed set obtained by pollination with normal pollen and X-ray irradiated pollen in rice

Polli	nation dose (rads)/ particulars of cross	Ch × JV (normal)	Ch × JV 1500 rads irradiates pollen	Ch × JV 2000 rads irradiated pollen	Ch × JV 5000 rads irradiated pollen
1	Total spikelets crossed	12.00	20.00	32.00	57.00
2	% of seed set	91.67	50.00	34.37	17.54
3	No. of Cross seeds harvested	8.00	5.00	7.00	5.00
4	No. of cross seed sown	5.00	4.00	7.00	5.00
5	% of cross seed germination	100.00	75.00	71.42	60.00
6	No. of F <sub>1</sub> /M <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plants obtained	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
7	% of sterility in F <sub>1</sub> /M <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	27.00	53.00	61.00	74.00
8	No. of seeds obtained from the F <sub>1</sub> /M <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plant that followed for further analysis	310.00	204.00	142.00	76.00
9	No. of F <sub>2</sub> /M <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> plants obtained	187.00	185.00	87.00	45.00
10	No. of F <sub>3</sub> /M <sub>3</sub> ,F <sub>3</sub> families studied	30.00	46.00	32.00	25.00

Ch = Cherumodan; JV = Japan Violet

of seed set, yield of viable cross seeds and percentage of fertility in M<sub>1</sub>/F<sub>1</sub> plants showed a decreasing tendency with the increase of radiation dose. It is in conformity with early reports [9, 11]. Spontaneous parthenogenesis was ruled out, as controlled emasculations without subsequent pollination failed to set seeds. Data on the inheritance of anthocyanin pigmentation in different morphological plant parts studied are presented in Table 2. The F<sub>1</sub>/M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> of all four crosses showed the dominance of anthocyanin pigmentation in various plant parts studied, thereby revealing, probably, that there is no single gene transformation or unexpected phenotypes in the present study as reported by earlier workers. However, inheritance of pigmentation in different morphological plant parts in M2F2 showed variation in their pattern of inheritance when compared to the respective controls of F2 while some other characters showed no change in their pattern of inheritance as detailed below.

(Table 2). This probably demonstrates the fact that only one of the loci remained heterozygous in normal cross, while X-ray irradiation transformed the other locus also heterozygous by mutating (either of) the complementary genes into recessive" in the treated pollen grains of Japan Violet. However, observations' recorded in respect of leaf blade, junctura proper, ligule and auricle pigmentation showed genetic control by two complementary genes (9:7) in the normal cross. While in the case of junctura proper and auricle pigmentation in 1500,2000 and 5000 rads treated crosses gave trigenic complementary ratio 27:37; in the case of leaf blade both 2000 and 5000 rads treated crosses gave trigenic complementary ratio 27:37 and in the case of ligule only 5000 rads treated cross gave 27:37 (Table 2), instead of the digenic complementary ratio 9:7 of the respective controls. This also demonstrates the differential response of these characters in relation to the different dosages of X-rays treatments. The above

**Table 2.** Inheritance of different morphological characters in  $F_1/M_1F_1$  and  $F_2/M_2F_2$  of crosses Cherumodan  $\times$  Japan Violet (for normal (C), 1500 (T<sub>1</sub>), 2000 (T<sub>2</sub>) and 5000 (T<sub>3</sub>) rads X-ray treatments in rice

S.No.	Characters	Treatments	Parents				ved F <sub>2</sub> uency				
			Female	Male	F <sub>1</sub>	+		Total	Ratio	X2	P
1	2	3	4	5	6	77	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Leaf axil	C	+	+	+	132.00	12.00	144	15:1	1.07	0.50-0.30
		T <sub>1</sub>	+	+	+	171.00	10.00	181	15:1	0.16	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>2</sub>	+	+	+	70.00	6.00	76	15:1	0.35	0.70-0.50
0	l and abouth	T <sub>3</sub> C	+	+	+	36.00	2.00	38	15:1	0.06	0.80-0.70
2.	Leaf sheath		-	+	+	111.00 126.00	33.00 55.00	144 181	3:1 3:1	0.33 2.80	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	55.00	21.00	76	3:1	0.28	0.10-0.05 0.70-0.50
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	23.00	15.00	38	9:7	0.28	0.70-0.50
3.	Leaf blade	T <sub>3</sub> C	_	+ +	+	82.00	62.00	144	9:7	0.23	0.90-0.80
U.	Leai Diade	T <sub>1</sub>	_	+	+	93.00	88.00	181	9:7	1.74	0.20-0.10
		'1 T	_	+	+	38.00	38.00	76	27:37	1.90	0.20-0.10
		T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C	_	+	+	14.00	24.00	38	27:37	0.44	0.70-0.50
4.	Leaf margin	'3 C	_	+	+	100.00	44.00	144	3:1	2.37	0.20-0.10
٦,	Loai margin	T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	114.00	67.00	181	9:7	3.34	0.10-0.05
		'1 T-	_	+	+	49.00	27.00	76	9:7	2.09	0.20-0.10
		'2 T-	_	+	+	19.00	19.00	38	9:7	0.61	0.50-0.30
5.	Leaf tip	Т <sub>2</sub> Т <sub>3</sub> С	_	+	+	103.00	41.00	144	3:1	0.93	0.50-0.30
0.	Loui tip	T <sub>1</sub>	_	+	+	114.00	67.00	181	9:7	3.34	0.10-0.05
		T <sub>0</sub>	_	+	+	53.00	23.00	76	3:1	1.12	0.30-0.20
		72 To	_	+	+	20.00	18.00	38	9:7	0.20	0.70-0.50
6.	Junctura proper	т <sub>2</sub> Т <sub>3</sub> С	-	+	+	75.00	69.00	144	9:7	1.02	0.50-0.30
	Tanta propos	T <sub>1</sub>	_	+	+	80.00	101.00	181	27:37	0.30	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>2</sub>	_	+	+	33.00	43.00	76	27:37	0.05	0.90-0.80
		т <sub>2</sub> Т <sub>3</sub> С	_	+	+	15.00	23.00	38	27:37	0.11	0.70-0.50
7.	Ligule	c	-	+	+	89.00	55.00	144	9:7	1.81	0.20-0.10
	J	T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	102.00	79.00	181	9:7	0.01	>0.98
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	39.00	37.00	76	9:7	0.75	0.50-0.30
		T3	-	+ -	+	15.00	23.00	38	27:37	0.11	0.80-0.70
8.	Auricle	T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C	-	+	+	77.00	67.00	144	9:7	0.45	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	89.00	92.00	181	27:37	3.62	0.10-0.05
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	33.00	43.00	76	27.37	0.05	0.90-0.80
		T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C	-	+	+	12.00	26.00	38	27:37	1.75	0.20-0.10
9.	Node	Č	-	+	+	30.00	114.00	144	3:13	0.41	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	-	-	25.00	156.00	181	3:13	2.90	0.10-0.05
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	16.00	60.00	76	3:13	0.26	0.70-0.50
		т <sub>2</sub> Т <sub>3</sub> С	-	-	-	7.00	31.00	38	3:13	0.002	>0.98
10.	Internode		-	+	+	106.00	38.00	144	3:1	0.15	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	126.00	55.00	181	3:1	2.80	0.10-0.05
		Т <sub>2</sub> Т <sub>3</sub> С	-	+	+	54.00	22.00	76	3:1	0.63	0.50-0.30
		т <sub>3</sub>	-	+	+	22.00	16.00	38	9:7	0.04	0.90-0.80
11.	Stigma	С	-	+	+	108.00	36.00	144	3:1	0.00	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	138.00	43.00	181	3:1	0.15	0.70-0.50
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	54.00	22.00	76	3:1	0.63	0.50-0.30
		Т <sub>3</sub> С	-	+	+	22.00	16.00	38	9:7	0.04	0.90-0.80
12.	Apiculus		-	+	+	106.00	38.00	144	3:1	0.15	0.70-0.50
		<u>T</u> 1	-	+	+	127.00	54.00	181	3:1	2.26	0.20-0.10
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	55.00	21.00	76	3:1	0.28	0.70-0.50
• •		T <sub>3</sub> C	-	+	+	22.00	16.00	38	9:7	0.04	0.90-0.80
13.	Awning		-	+	+	107.00	37.00	144	3:1	0.04	0.90-0.80
		T <sub>1</sub>	-	+	+	128.00	53.00	181	3:1	1.76	0.20-0.10
		$T_2$	-	+	+	61.00	15.00	76	3:1	1.12	0.30-0.20
	<b></b>	T <sub>3</sub> C	-	+	+	27.00	11.00	38	3:1	0.32	0.70-0.50
14.	Tip sterility	C	-	+	+	107.00	37.00	144	3:1	0.04	0.90-0.80
·		<u>T</u> 1	-	+	+	144.00	37.00	181	3:1	2.01	0.20-0.10
		T <sub>2</sub>	-	+	+	57.00	19.00	76	3:1	0.00	<b>A</b> 45 = 5 =
		T <sub>3</sub>			+	33.00	5.00	38	3:1	2.84	0.10-0.05

<sup>+ -</sup> Present, - - Absent, C - Normal,  $T_1$  - 1500 rads,  $T_2$  - 2000 rads,  $T_3$  - 5000 rads of X-rays

**Table 3.** Breeding behaviour of  $F_3/M_3F_3$  families for different morphological characters studied in crosses Cherumodan  $\times$  Japan Violet for normal (C), 1500 (T<sub>1</sub>), 2000 (T<sub>2</sub>) and 5000 (T<sub>3</sub>) rads X-ray treated pollen grains used for hybridization

Signature   Sign		Violet to	or normal (	C), 1500	(T <sub>1</sub> ), 2000 (	1 <sub>2</sub> ) and	5000 (	T <sub>3</sub> ) ra	ds X-ra	ay trea	ated p	ollen gra	ains us	ed for	hybri	dization
1	S.No.	Character	Treatment	F <sub>2</sub> ratio												
See   See																
	1							8	9		11_	12				
Leaf sheath   T	1	Leaf axil														
Table   Tabl			<u>T</u> 1													
Table   Tabl			12													
Table   Tabl	^	1	ا <sub>3</sub>							7.00						
To a	2	Lear sneath	- C													
Clamina   T			<u> </u>													
Clamina   T			'2 T		1.2.1	9.00	19.00						4.00		2.09	0.30-0.20
Clamina   T	3	Leaf blade	'3 C		1.4.4.7	200	6.00	-	9 00	-	-	-	13.00		0.61	0.90-0.80
	3		Τ.													
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.		(Lanima)	<u>' 1</u> T-									5.00				
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.			T-													
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.	4	Leaf margin	C						0.00			0.00				
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.	·		T.						6.00							
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.			T <sub>0</sub>													
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.			T <sub>o</sub>													
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.	5	Leaf tip	Č													
T2   3:1   1:2:1   9.00   18.0   5.00   32   1.50   0.50-0.30   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.06   3.0   10-0.05   6.00   5.00   1.	•		T.						9.00							
Proper			T <sub>o</sub>													
Proper			T <sub>o</sub>						7.00							
Proper	6	Junctura	c													
T2 27:37 1:6:12:8:37 1.00 4.00 2.00 7.00 18.00 25 5.59 0.300-0.20 T3 27:37 1:6:12:8:37 1.00 5.00 4.00 3.00 12.00 25 4.50 0.500-0.30 T4 19:7 1:4:4:7 1.00 8.00 9.00 12.00 32 2.70 0.500-0.30 T5 97 1:4:4:7 5.00 10.00 8.00 9.00 15.00 32 2.70 0.500-0.30 T5 97 1:4:4:7 5.00 10.00 8.00 9.00 15.00 32 2.70 0.500-0.30 T5 97 1:4:4:7 1.00 6.00 8.00 9.00 15.00 32 2.70 0.500-0.30 T5 9 Node C 9:7 1:6:12:8:37 1.00 6.00 8.00 15.00 32 1.00 0.00-0.80 T5 10:12:2:37 1:6:12:8:37 1.00 6.00 8.00 15.00 32 1.00 0.00-0.80 T5 10:12:2:37 1:6:12:8:37 1.00 6.00 8.00 15.00 32 1.00 0.00-0.80 T5 10:12:2:4:7 0.00 1.00 4.00 5.00 11.00 25 4.17 0.500-0.30 T5 11:2:2:4:7 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 18.00 32 5.47 0.300-0.50 T5 11:2:2:4:7 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 18.00 30 5.74 0.300-0.50 T5 11:2:1:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 18.00 30 5.74 0.300-0.50 T5 11:2:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 15.00 30 1.00 0.00-0.50 T5 11:2:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 15.00 30 1.00 0.00-0.50 T5 11:2:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 7.00 15.00 30 1.00 0.00-0.50 T5 11:2:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 7.00 15.00 30 1.00 0.00-0.50 T5 11:2:1 0.00 1.00 4.00 7.00 7.00 15.00 32 1.00 0.700-5.00 T5 11:2:1 0.00 16.00 9.00 7.00 7.00 32 0.10 0.700-5.00 T5 11:2:1 0.00 16.00 9.00 7.00 9.00 7.00 32 0.00 0.700-5.00 T5 11:2:1 0.00 16.00 9.00 7.00 9.00 7.00 32 0.00 0.700-5.00 T5 11:2:1 0.00 16.00 9.00 7.00 9.00 7.00 32 0.00 0.700-5.00 T5 11:2:1 0.00 16.00 9.00 7.00 9.00 7.00 32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	•		T,									3.00				
Node		F	Τ'n													
Node			T <sub>2</sub>													
Node	7	Ligule	ငိ													
Node		J	T₁											32		
Node			T <sub>2</sub> '											32		
Node			T <sub>2</sub>									4.00		25		
Node	8	Auricle	č	9:7	1:4:4:7	1.00	6.00		8.00				15.00	30	1.00	0.90-0.80
Node			Τ,	27:37	1:6:12:8:37	2.00	5.00		7.00			2.00	16.00	32	7.34	0.20-0.10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Τ̈́	27:37	1:6:12:8:37	1.00	2.00		6.00			6.00	17.00	32	1.91	0.80-0.70
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T <sub>3</sub>		1:6:12:8:37	1.00	4.00		4.00			5.00	11.00	25	4.17	0.50-0.30
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	Node	Č													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T <sub>1</sub>													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T <sub>2</sub>													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$T_{3}$					4.00			3.00				8.31	0.10-0.05
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	Internode	С													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			T <sub>1</sub>													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$T_2$													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$T_{3}$						9.00							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	Stigma														
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			$\frac{\tau_1}{2}$													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1 <sub>2</sub>						0.00							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	A ! !	Γ <sub>3</sub>						9.00							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	Apiculus	C -													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			11													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1 <sub>2</sub>						0.00							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	Aure	13						9.00							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	AWN	<i>ل</i> +													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			<u> </u> 1													
T2     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     18.00     7.00     32     0.50     0.80-0.70       T3     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     14.00     4.00     25     1.08     0.70-0.50			<sup>1</sup> 2													
T2     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     18.00     7.00     32     0.50     0.80-0.70       T3     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     14.00     4.00     25     1.08     0.70-0.50	1.4	Tip otorility	13													
T2     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     18.00     7.00     32     0.50     0.80-0.70       T3     3:1     1:2:1     7.00     14.00     4.00     25     1.08     0.70-0.50	14	rip sternity	- -													
T <sub>3</sub> 3:1 1:2:1 7.00 14.00 4.00 25 1.08 0.70-0.50			<u>'</u> 1													
			<u>'</u> 2													
	*							-I. TD	T			CL				

\*Expected breeding behaviour of F3 families, Table values at 0.5% level; TD - True dominant; Ch - Cherumodan, JV - Japan violet, TR - True recessive; 1 df. 3.84; 2 df 5.99; 3df 7.81; 4 df 9.49; 5 df 11.07

results could be explained on the basis of three complementary loci for the control of these characters [13]. Except the leaf sheath pigmentation ratio 9:7 of 5000 rads treatment all other genetic ratios were confirmed in  $F_3/M_3F_3$  generations as detailed in Table 3. The above ratio could not be confirmed due to less number of progenies in the family. However, certain limitations of the population size observed in certain  $M_2F_2$  of higher treatments could be confounded by the  $F_3M_3$  confirmation studies. Such instances are common consequences of  $M_1F_1$  sterility [14].

Transformation of genetic ratios 3:1 into 9:7 and 9:7 into 27:37 for different morphological characters occurred due to the recessive mutation in the complementary genes or, the formation of increased number of recessive alleles in the pollen parent due to pollen irradiation. In turn, it leads to the segregation of more recessive alleles or the expression of more recessive phenotypes and thus the transformation of genetic ratios. It also reveals the fact that the actual number of genes in the control of a particular character may vary and it can be ascertained by these types of studies.

## **Acknowledgement**

We are grateful to the Head of the Department of Radiation, Calicut Medical College, Calicut for providing facilities for radiation treatment of the pollen grains.

### References

- Pandey K. K. 1975. Sexual transfer of specific genes without gametic fusion. Nature, 256: 310-313.
- Pandey K. K. 1978. Gametic gene transfer in *Nicotiana* by means of irradiated pollen. Genetica, 49: 56-69.
- Pandey K. K. 1980a. Further evidence for egg transformation induced by irradiated pollen in *Nicotiana*. Heredity, 45: 15-29.

- Pandey K. K. 1980b. Parthenogenetic diploidy and egg transformation induced by irradiated pollen in *Nicotiana*. NZJ. Bot., 18: 203-207.
- Davies D. R. 1984. Pollen irradiation and the transfer of maternal genes in *Pisum sativum*. Theor. Appl. Genet., 67: 245-248.
- Caligari P. D. S., Ingram N. R. and Jinks J. L. 1981. Gene transfer in *Nicotiana rustica* by means of irradiated pollen I unselected progeny. Heredity, 47: 12-26.
- Jinks J. L., Caligari P. D. S. and Ingram N. R. 1981. Gene transfer in *Nicotiana rustica* using irradiated pollen. Nature, 291: 586-588.
- Powell W., Caligari P. D. S. and Hayter A. M. 1983. The use of pollen irradiation in barley breeding. Theor. Appl. Genet., 65: 73-76.
- Banga S. S., Banga S. K. and Labana K. S. 1984. Gametic gene transfer in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L) Coss). Heredity, 53: 293-297.
- Snape J. W., Parker B. B., Simpson E., Ainsvvorth C. C., Payne P. L. and Eaw C. N. 1983. The use of irradiated pollen for differential gene transfer in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Theor. Appl. Genet., 65: 103-111.
- Sarigorla M., Villa M. and Ottaviano E. 1987. Pollen irradiation and gene transfer in maize. Maydica, XXXII: 239-248.
- Werner C. P., Dunkin I. M., Cornish M. A. and Jones G. H. 1984. Gene transfer in *Nicotiana rustica* by means of irradiated pollen cytogenetical consequences Heredity, 52: 113-119.
- Pavithran K. 2005. Genetics and linkage groups of rice. In: Rice in Indian Perspective, Sharma S.D. and Nayak B.C. (Eds). Today and Tomorrow Publishers and Printers, India: 283-321.
- Shobha B. 1993. Studies on mutagenesis in early upland rice. Doctoral Thesis, Univ. of Calicut, Kerala, India.