Molecular characterization of inositol pentakisphosphate 2-kinase (GmIPK1) from soybean and its expression pattern in the developing seeds
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Abstract

The principal storage compound of seeds, phytic acid, poses several problems for agriculture due to its antinutritional effects making the development of low phytate crops a prime priority in research. Soybean, with its high nutritive value, wide acceptability and varied usage, but possessing high levels of phytate can be a major target of low phytate research. To this effect, Inositol penta-kisphosphate 2-kinase (IPK1) can prove to be critical player given its key role in catalyzing the terminal step of phytate biosynthesis. In this paper, we report the expression profile of GmIPK1 across different tissues and seed development stages, cloning of 1371 bp nucleotide sequence representing the full length cDNA of GmIPK1 and in silico analysis for characterization. Amino acid sequence of GmIPK1 showed much similarity with that of Phaseolus vulgaris and Cicer arietinum. It also showed the presence of the characteristic Ins_P5_2-kinase domain required for catalytic activity. Thus this study provides an initial idea on the molecular characterization of GmIPK1 enzyme for its further in detailed study and subsequent utilization in generating low phytate soybean with enhanced mineral bioavailability.
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Introduction

One of the most important legume crops in the world, soybean is well known for its high content of good quality seed protein for food and feed purposes, and also for its capability for providing oil needed for industry (Harada and Xia 2004). Soybean seeds also contain sufficient amount of phosphorus required for human health and optimal livestock production, in the form of inositol hexaphosphate otherwise known as phytate (Raboy 2007). In addition to sequestering inorganic phosphate, phytate may also chelate divalent cations thereby decreasing their availability (Raboy 2009) besides contributing to water pollution by eutrophication as the phosphate-rich waste discharges into water bodies. Hence a reduction in phytic acid content of grains could have far reaching nutritional and environmental consequences.

Manipulation of the key enzymes of phytic acid biosynthesis pathway can be a probable approach for generating low phytate soybean. Careful examination of the phytic acid biosynthetic pathway reveals the terminal enzyme Inositol pentakisphosphate 2-kinase (IPK1) to be one the most important enzyme controlling the metabolic flux of phytate generation. Thus engineering of this enzyme may help to achieve seed specific reduction in levels of phytate synthesis while at the same time avoiding depletion of intermediates of the pathway for other metabolic activities. This enzyme has hitherto been studied in other organisms including Arabidopsis (Stevenson-Paulik et al. 2005; Sweetman et al. 2006), maize (Sun et al. 2007), yeast (York et al. 1999), human (Verbsky et al. 2002), and soybean (Stiles 2007). Evidence suggesting the potential of downregulation of the enzyme has been well documented. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae IPK1Δ mutant was almost incapable of producing InsP₆ (York et al. 1999) while the Arabidopsis T-DNA
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insertion mutant showed a reduction of 83% in seed phytate levels (Stevenson-Paulik et al. 2005). Recently, RNAi mechanism has also been successively adopted to silence the IPK1 gene in rice (Ali et al. 2013) to obtain a significant decrease of 69% in phytate content. IPK1 in soybean has also been implicated to have a critical role in phytate biosynthesis (Stiles et al. 2008), nevertheless characterization and information about the fundamental features of this enzyme is still lacking. In this paper, we report the spatiotemporal expression analysis, cloning of GmIPK1 from soybean variety Pusa-9712 and its characterizations for unravelling the structural complexity with an ultimate aim to specifically down regulate the enzyme for generation of low phytate soybean.

Materials and methods

Differential gene expression by Quantitative Real-Time PCR

The steady state expression levels of GmIPK1 transcripts were estimated in various tissues viz., roots, stems and leaves of 20-day-old seedlings, flowers and developing seeds of soybean, Glycine max L. var. Pusa 9712, collected from the Division of Genetics, IARI, New Delhi. As IPK1 is the terminal enzyme in phytic acid biosynthesis, for spatial expression studies seeds in later stages of development (25-30 DAF) were taken whereas to determine the expression pattern of the enzyme during seed development as would be expected for phytic acid biosynthesis, temporal expression studies were carried out at increasing seed sizes representing the stages of development (0-2, 2-4, 4-6, 6-8, 8-10 and 10-12 mm seed size based on length as measured from apical end to basal end of the seed). Total RNA was isolated from the samples using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, USA) and treated with RNase-free DNase I (Thermo Scientific, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. All RNA samples were quality assessed on agarose gels and further quantified with Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Primers (SP6 and T7) on an automated sequencer (ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer, USA). The nucleotide sequence data was submitted to the GenBank (Accession number KF359958.1).

PCR reactions were performed using the cDNA synthesized as previously described, with GmIPK1F [5'-ATG GCA TTG ACT TTG AAA GAG GAC GC-3'] and GmIPK1R [5’- TCA ATA TGC AGC AT A GAT -3'] primers in a final volume of 25 µl containing 20 ng of cDNA, 2.5 µl of 10 X Taq buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1.0 µl of 10 mM dNTP mix, 200 nM of each primer and 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific, USA) to amplify the GmIPK1 gene sequence. The 1371 bp amplicon was purified and subsequently cloned in the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega, USA). The recombinant plasmids were confirmed by restriction analysis and sequenced using universal primers (SP6 and T7) on an automated sequencer (ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer, USA). The nucleotide sequence data was submitted to the GenBank (Accession number KF359958.1).
In silico characterization of GmIPK1

The related GmIPK1 amino acid sequences from other plants were identified via Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTP) implemented on databases of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blastp) using the previously deduced GmIPK1 amino acid sequence as a query.

The amino acid compositions of the selected IPK1 sequences were computed using PEPSTATS (http://emboss.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/pepstats/) analysis tool (Rice et al. 2000). The ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/) of ExPASy was used to compute the physiochemical characterization of the selected 10 IPK1 sequences (Gasteiger et al. 2005).

The amino acid sequences were fed into Clustal Omega version 2.0 for multiple alignments (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalomega2/) (Goujon et al. 2010). The phylogenetic analysis were carried out using the neighbor-joining (N-J) method with 1000 bootstrap replicates implemented in MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis) version 6 program (Tamura et al. 2013), to evaluate the degree of support for the particular grouping pattern in the constructed cladogram. Domain and family analysis of GmIPK1 was done using CDD (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd) tool.

Results and discussion

Expression profiles of GmIPK1

Low phytate trait in plant seeds offers important nutritional and environmental benefits for food and feed uses. Controlling the level of phytate requires its specific targeted silencing of genes involved in the phytate pathway without affecting other critical aspects of inositol metabolism in different plant tissues. Thus the spatiotemporal expression pattern of GmIPK1 needs to be fully understood to exploit it for achieving the desired level of gene silencing in the target tissue that is seed.

An initial expression profiling of GmIPK1 was carried out by Stiles (2007) reporting spatial gene expression pattern in limited number of tissues such as leaves, roots and seeds; Yuan et al. (2012) also surveyed GmIPK1 expression analysis in root, stem, leaf, flower and seeds. Thus to gain greater understanding of the steady state mRNA level of GmIPK1, initially, the transcript accumulation pattern was determined across different tissues (root, stem, leaf, flower and seed). The transcript levels were normalized relative to the internal control gene, PEP carboxylase. The highest level of expression (more than 70 fold change) was observed in seeds (Fig. 1a) supporting its role in seed phytic acid biosynthesis. The fold change expression in other tissues studied were less than fivefold. The reduced transcript levels in other soybean tissues could be due to the fact that occurrence of phytic acid has been reported in every tissues (Singh et al. 2013) where it plays diverse roles ranging from cellular metabolism to tackling various oxidative stresses (Graf and Eaton 1990). In addition, such diverged expression profiles have also been seen
for other enzymes of this distinct pathway thereby justifying their role in coordinating inositol metabolism with cellular growth and other signaling mechanisms (Krishnan et al. 2015; Majumder et al. 1997). The expression profile obtained in the present study is in line with Stiles (2007) and Yuan et al. (2012) where the highest expression was also reported in seeds confirming GmIPK1 to be seed specific. In addition to tissue specific expression analysis, we also performed temporal expression analysis in developing seeds at 8 different stages of seed development. The expression of GmIPK1 showed a preferential expression in the later stages of developing seeds particularly from 6-8 mm of seed size showed maximum expression of 35 fold change; and the expression was present up 14-16 mm of seed developmental stages with decreasing trends (Fig. 1b). The semi q-PCR analysis of Yuan et al., (2012) also reported the similar expression pattern of GmIPK1. The expression analysis thus confirms GmIPK1 to be the ideal candidate for designing efficient silencing strategies for reduction of seed phytate levels.

**Cloning and sequencing of GmIPK1**

Custom designed primers synthesized from the sequence data of the GmIPK1 cDNA available in NCBI (EU033956) were used to amplify the 1371 bp open reading frame (ORF) encoding a single polypeptide of 456 amino acid residues from the seeds of Pusa 9712, a popular Indian soybean genotype. The amplicon was cloned in pGEM®-T Easy vector, sequenced and submitted in NCBI as KF359958.1 (Fig. 2). The semi q-PCR analysis of Yuan et al., (2012) also reported the similar expression pattern of GmIPK1. The expression analysis thus confirms GmIPK1 to be the ideal candidate for designing efficient silencing strategies for reduction of seed phytate levels.

**Physico-chemical and structural features**

The primary structural analysis of the selected IPK1 homologs was done by computing different parameters using ExPASy ProtParam and PEPSTATS tools and tabulated as Table 2. The analysis revealed Leucine (Leu) as the most abundant amino acid for all the IPK1s under study; Methionine (Met) was the least abundant. The predicted average molecular weight (MW) calculated was 50452.32 g mol⁻¹ (Table 2). The computed average pl of the IPK1 sequences was 6.46 indicating that this enzyme is likely to precipitate in

---

**Table 1.** IPK1 sequences retrieved from NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Nucleotide specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine max</td>
<td>AGZ03654.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicer arietinum</td>
<td>XP_00449187.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaseolus vulgaris</td>
<td>XP_007160729.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine soja</td>
<td>KHN45871.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>XP_010664715.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricinus communis</td>
<td>DAA64567.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica napus</td>
<td>CDY52373.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>XP_008347364.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana sylvestris</td>
<td>XP_009792964.1</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>NP_173629.2</td>
<td>ATP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Parameters of the selected IPK1 sequences (from plants) calculated using the ProtParam program: molecular weight (MW) (g/mol); isoelectric point (pl); extinction coefficient (EC) (M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)); instability index (Ii); aliphatic index (Ai); grand average hydropathy (GRAVY); number of negative residues (-R); number of positive residues (+R)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Seq</th>
<th>MW length</th>
<th>pl</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Ii</th>
<th>Ai</th>
<th>GRAVY</th>
<th>-R</th>
<th>+R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycine max</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>51132.5</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>41830</td>
<td>34.66</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicer arietinum</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>50984.1</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>38850</td>
<td>37.61</td>
<td>92.88</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaseolus vulgaris</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>54911.2</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>40340</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>85.87</td>
<td>-0.233</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine soja</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>47227.4</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>34380</td>
<td>32.07</td>
<td>90.73</td>
<td>-0.368</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitis vinifera</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>50935.3</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>48820</td>
<td>33.98</td>
<td>88.98</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricinus communis</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>50901.4</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>38850</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>87.74</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica napus</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>50482.5</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>41370</td>
<td>43.25</td>
<td>94.87</td>
<td>-0.359</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>47614.8</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>30370</td>
<td>34.42</td>
<td>87.74</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana sylvestris</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>50167.2</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>40340</td>
<td>28.53</td>
<td>88.98</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>50166.8</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>35870</td>
<td>33.43</td>
<td>93.22</td>
<td>-0.269</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

acidic buffers (Table 2); this information will be of much use in the development of buffer systems for the purification of recombinant IPK1 proteins by isoelectric focussing. The low pl values (< 6) observed may be attributed to the dominance of surface OH species (Satoshi and Makoto, 2005) which might play a role in bond formation. The in vivo half-life (T\(_{1/2}\)) of the selected kinases was calculated via Instability Index (Ii). Previous studies reported that proteins having Ii > 40 have a T\(_{1/2}\) of less than 5 hours, while those having Ii < 40 have a longer T\(_{1/2}\) of 16 hours (Rogers et al. 1986). Our study showed that with the exception of Brassica napus, the other IPK1 proteins including GmIPK1 was stable. Although the positional or interaction effects of the adjacent residues have not been taken into consideration for analysing the stability, yet the study provides some indication about the physical state of the protein. GRAVY indices of IPK1 sequences ranged from –0.146 to –0.368 (Table 2) reflecting the hydrophobicity of the amino acids (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982). The present study thus predicted that all IPK1 enzymes tend to be hydrophilic in nature, which endorses its multifaceted role in cell signaling.

Aliphatic Index (Ai), another parameter that measures the relative volume occupied by the aliphatic side chains of the amino acids like Alanine (Ala), Valine (Val), Leucine (Leu) and Isoleucine (Ile), serves as a measure of thermo stability of proteins (Gupta et al. 2012). In our study, the Ai values of all the kinases under consideration ranged from 85.87 to 99.39. IPK1 sequences from fruit bearing species [Vitis vinifera (85.87), Malus domestica (87.74)] are presumed to be less thermostable than other horticultural and legumes crops as high Ai is an indicator of protein stability over a wide temperature range. The extinction coefficient (EC), which indicates the light absorbed by the protein at a wavelength of 280 nm, was found to be in a range of 30370 to 48820 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) with respect to its concentration of Cysteine (Cys), Tryptophan (Trp), and Tyrosine (Tyr).

**Sequence alignment and domain analysis**

Multiple alignment of the selected amino acid sequences of the homologs of IPK1 from 10 different plants were performed using Clustal Omega program. The conserved amino acids are shown in Fig. 3. The analysis revealed most of the amino acids in the Ins_P5_2-kinase domain is well conserved across IPK1 homologs implicating their function in conferring the enzymes its kinase activity. The amino acids playing a major catalytic role may be Arginine (Arg), Valine (Val), Glycine (Gly), Glutamic acid (Glu) and Leucine (Leu) which can be confirmed further by other in silico studies. Domain analysis reveals that IPK1s belong to Inositol pentakisphosphate 2 kinase family possessing a major Ins_P5_2-kinase domain required for its catalytic function (Fig. 4).

**Phylogenetic tree**

Phylogenies organize the knowledge of biodiversity and structural similarities into distinct clades (Baum 2008). Also, phylogenetic analysis provides a useful
Fig. 3. Significantly conserved sequences as analyzed using Clustal Omega

Conserved domains on [gi:55480297]gbj:AGZ03654.1]

Insitol 1,4,5-trisphosphate 3-kinase [Glycine max]

Fig. 4. Domain analysis of GmPK1
framework to understand the evolutionary relationships of group of homologous proteins. Differential expression of phytic acid biosynthetic pathway have previously been carried out in wheat (Bhati et al. 2014) and Arabidopsis (Sweetman et al. 2006) but the similarity study had been carried out not considering a large group of representative organisms. In this study, we have constructed a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of IPK1 homologs of 10 different plants using (Fig. 5) MEGA software. Yeast IPK1 sequence was used as outgroup. Our study showed the presence of a distinct clade among the selected IPK1 homologs. Interestingly, the IPK1s of plants belonging to pulse crop formed a separate subgroup in the clade and consist of members from soybean, Phaseolus, chickpea and IPK1 from apple, grapes and Nicotiana formed another subgroup in a clade of phylogeny. IPK1s from Arabidopsis, Rcinus and Brassica were present separately in the phylogeny. Thus, phylogeny of homologs of IPK1 illustrated a high degree of divergence of legume IPK1s and with that of other plants and only there was conservation of catalytic domains in the IPK1 homologs.
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